

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/536,926	05/31/2005	Kazumi Aoyama	450100-04849	6572		
7550 08/02/2010 William S Frommer Frommer Lawrence & Haug			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N			
745 Fifth Aver New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			2626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/02/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/536,926	AOYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL N. OPSASNICK	2626		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

C4-4			

Period fo	or Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of files communication. The state of time time the state of the communication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The to reply within the set or restended period for reply will be application to become ABMONEDE (SU S.C. § 133). The state of the state of the state of the state of the mailing date of this communication, The state of the sta
Status	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19/2010.
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.

6) Other: __

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100727

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fukui</u> (5918222) in view of <u>Freeman</u> (5340317).

As per claim 1,36, Fukui (5918222) teaches a dialog control device/robot characterized by comprising: memory means for storing various pieces of information appended to an object as values corresponding to respective items of the object (fig. 94, col. 46 lines 15-16); and conversation generation means for selecting, in response to an item of said object defined as topic, another topic relating to the topic used in the immediately preceding conversation (as topics – fig. 94, col. 46 lines 30-60); and generating an acquisition conversation for acquiring the value of the item selected as topic (as value-topic relationship – col. 61 lines 17-22) or a utilization conversation for utilizing the value of the item in the topic already stored in said memory means as the next conversation (col. 46 lines 30-45); said conversation generation means being adapted to store the acquired value acquired by said acquisition conversation as the

Application/Control Number: 10/536,926

Art Unit: 2626

value of the corresponding item (as generating the next conversation based on topic change – col. 52 lines 19-25,47-49). Fukui (5918222) does not explicitly teach customization of a conversation, however, Freeman (5340317) teaches user customizable speech conversational system (Freeman (5340317), abstract, col. 7 lines 47-65; in a robot environment – col. 23-26, example 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of conversational development to modify the teachings of Fukui (5918222) with user customizable conversation based on previous responses because it advantageously provides a realistic conversation to the user (Freeman (5340317), col. 2 lines 45-60).

As per claims 2-11, Fukui (5918222) teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means selects: any other item, relating to, differing relationships between the object, topic and values used in said immediately preceding conversation belongs as the next topic and generates said utilization conversation by utilizing the value of the item already stored in said memory means (as changing to next topic based on values extracted from the user – col. 52 lines 19-45;figs. 2,12,22,189-193).

As per claims 12-14, Fukui (5918222) teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means includes: memory acquisition conversation generation means for generating said acquisition conversation; memory utilization conversation generation means for generating said utilization conversation; situation judgment means for selecting either said memory acquisition conversation generation means or said memory utilization conversation

Application/Control Number: 10/536,926

Art Unit: 2626

generation means and have said memory acquisition conversation generation means or said memory utilization conversation generation means, whichever selected, generate said next conversation; wherein the situation judgment decides memory acquisition or memory utilization based on a ratio of acquired/non-acquired items and first extent is different than the second extent (as decision based upon conversation state, judging whether more information is needed, and acquiring/accessing the information — figs. 167,169,171).

As per claims 15,16, Fukui (5918222) teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means holds history of the used topics and generates said acquisition conversation or said utilization conversation by referring to the history, and not using the same topic via history information (col. 9 lines 1-25).

As per claims 17,18, Fukui (5918222) teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said memory means stores the values of the items of said object along with their respective degrees of impression that provide reference for deciding if any of the values may be used in the conversations with said object or not and said conversation generation means selects the topic to be used in the next conversation on the basis of the degree of impression thereof; and internal condition management means adapted to hold parameters indicating the internal condition and change the values of the parameters according to external stimuli; each of said degrees of impression representing the difference of the parameter values of the corresponding parameter held by said internal condition management means before and after the acquisition of the corresponding value (as generating expression scores including likelihood and strength, prediction, system response contents – figs. 173-176; including degree of expression, figs. 177-179)

Claims 19-35 are method claims that are performed by the apparatus claims 1-18 and as such, are similar in scope and content to claims 1-18 and therefore, claims 19-35 are rejected under similar rationale as presented against claims 1-18 above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/2010 have been fully considered but are moot in view of
the new grounds of rejection. Examiner notes the introduction of the Freeman reference to
further develop the conversation flow of Fukui (and useable in an robotic environment).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/536,926

Art Unit: 2626

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Michael N. Opsasnick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626 7/29/2010